CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILE (37 CFR 1.8) Applicant(s): QUINCKE			Docket No. 2858	
Application No. 10/781,090	Filing Date 02/17/2004	Examiner MAMMEN, N.		Group Art Unit 3671
vention: METHOD AND	DEVICE FOR DETERMIN	ING	CE	RECEIVED INTRAL FAX CENTER
				OCT 2 6 2005
		THE LEFT		
hereby certify that this .		AMENDMENT, IDS, 1 REF. (Identify type of correspondence)		271) 273 8300
		nt and Trademark Office (Fax.	140. Ē	
(Date)	5			
		MICHAEL J. (Typed or Printed Name of Per	STRU	CER
		(Typed or Printed Name of Per	rson Sign	ing Carighting
		(Signatu	ure)	
	,			
		have the same sortificate of malling		
	Note: Each paper must	have its own certificate of mailing.		
•				CEIVED
	e .		•	PIPE/IAP
			nr.	F 63 27 1000F
			UC	2 7 2005
				2 7 2005
				Z 7 2005
				2 7 2005

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

OCT 2 6 2005

Examiner:

Nathan Scott Mammen

Art Unit: 3671

In re:

Applicant:

Gunnar QUINCKE

Serial No.:

10/781,090

Filed:

February 17, 2004

AMENDMENT

October 25, 2005

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This communication is responsive to the Office Action of September 30, 2005.

2 003/016

In the Office Action the Examiner indicated that the application contained several distinct species of the claimed invention, namely:

Group I defined in claims 1-19 for apparatus and method with a compacting device, separately located outside of the transporting means;

Group II defined in claims 20-22 for apparatus and a method with a probe chamber open in a traveling direction of the harvester and opposite to the transporting direction to the crop;

Group III defined in claim 25 for an apparatus with a unit, a rotatable chopper drum bottom cooperating with one another, crop probe deviated through the drum bottom.

The Examiner indicated that the applicant was required to elect a single disclosed species and to list the claims related to the elected species. The Examiner indicated that no claim was considered to be generic.

It is respectfully submitted that in all independent claims currently on file, it is specifically defined that in the inventive method and apparatus there is a compacting device which is a separate device located

2 004/016

outside the transporting means and filled during the working process with the crop probe, with the compacting device located outside the transporting means. These features are provided in all claims, and first defined in claims 1 and 13. It is respectfully submitted that claims 1 and 13 should be considered as generic claims.

As for the election requirement applied by the Examiner, applicants have elected for further prosecution the features of Group I.

It is respectfully submitted that claims 1-19 are readable on the elected species.

It is therefore respectfully requested to examine claims 1-19.

At the same time, it is respectfully submitted that in the event if a generic is finally held allowable, the other claims related to non-elected species should be allowed as well.

Consideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,

Michael J. Striker Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 27233